

StreamBox

December 3, 2020

City of Williamsport Council Meeting

*****DISCLAIMER*****

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UNEDITED DRAFT TRANSLATION. THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE VERBATIM, HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS. PLEASE CHECK WITH THE SPEAKER(S) FOR ANY CLARIFICATION.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE COPIED OR DISSEMINATED UNLESS YOU OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE OFFICE OR SERVICE DEPARTMENT PROVIDING CART CAPTIONING TO YOU.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE USED IN A COURT OF LAW.

*****DISCALIMER*****

>> Hi, everyone. I hate to drop this on our my fellow councilmembers but we will have a brief executive meeting. welcome everyone to the Williamsburg City Councilman. We are meeting remotely. Item 1. Approval of city Council minutes is there a motion from counsel?

>> Motion.

>> Second.

>> Hearing none. Is there a vote.

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. We are going shows item number three and then we will safeguard to budget item number 4 and 5. Item number three is the final reading of the ordinance for the 47th year.

>> ordinance 47 year 2021. Development budget in its final reading.

>> is there emotion from counsel?

>> Motion

>> Second.

>> We have gone through this budget -- is there anything to add tonight?

>> You have seen it all, but I am here to answer questions.

>> Are there any questions?

>> This is a question for the mayor. What is the status for the all business loan that we passed in late August or early September. I think we were supposed to get one around October and I don't recall seeing one. Is there any quick synopsis on how that is going and maybe we can have that followed up in the near future. More detail on what's going on and what's happening next.

>> SPEAKER: Initially we had to apply unqualified and one did not that one is progressing through the process. We just have one that is being worked on. Right now we had another apply, and that is being worked through the processes well. I know they have been in contact and I believe he is going to be sitting down with them relatively in the future if I'm correct because we did discuss that. We've had three total applicants and businesses still can apply. We still have plenty of funding for it. The one not mollified that business was not in the city. So that is why they did not qualify. So we have one that I believe is working it way through.

>> SPEAKER:, I believe the initial funds have not been disbursed but they will be in the near future.

>> SPEAKER: We just received one in October

>> SPEAKER:, I'm sitting down with the applicant next week to figure out the uses of the fund and make sure they qualify.

>> SPEAKER: the same cumbersome -- we need to make sure we did not scare anyone away.

>> SPEAKER: We tried just trim as much fat away as we could, but some of it had to be in their given the nature of the funding, but hopefully it did not scare them away. If they need any help with the application. They can reach out to skip or myself. Do that again.

>> There are not other questions. Hearing none -- motion --

[Vote]

>> Item number 6. Will you read that?

>> Cable televisions setting the franchise at 5%

>> SPEAKER:. Is there a motion?

>> SPEAKER: So

>> SPEAKER: Second.

>> The motion passes.

>> At the request of Pres. Allison, this amendment has been in effect, this replaces the 3% in three places with 5% other language and that subsection has remained the same. Should this ordinance be approved on the second reading it will be submitted to Comcast to notify subscribers and it should take affect 2021. . If this does pass tonight on the first reading I also suggest the income statement line 4250 be increased to 320,000 based on the map that I have done the state. With that I yield to Pres. Allison

>> This was not reviewed in finance. Any questions from counsel?

>> SPEAKER: This obviously came up last minute and this is for my educational purposes. This is Norm. I am assuming this was advertised with enough time. The last thing anyone wants with this passing if it was not advertised in the right time. What is the advertising timeline for all of the stuff. Just as a refresher for me.

>> SPEAKER: I will submit it tomorrow, but it will run until Monday.

>> SPEAKER: At least four council members subsequently to early next week or the week after to pass on the second reading.

>> SPEAKER: Thanks for the clarification.

>> SPEAKER: Chris, I want to thank you for jumping on this right away if we could go further with this and I'm sure he would check on the equipment and things like that. Just moved really quickly. I want to thank you.

>> Well done, sir.

>> Thank you. Motion please?

[Vote]

>> Which you read that in short form?

>> To renew trash services for the city.

>> Is there a motion?

Moved

Second.

>> Welcome.

>> This resolution is to extend stress. One more year. Essentially they reached out to me and

expressed. She will current pricing from 2020 to 2021. . After debating it sounds like the best solution. Due to COVID being here rather, we have a recreation program extending into 2021 would be the best option. It was reviewed by finance we did at the not to exceed \$44,900. The big question was did we only pay for trash to be removed and the answer is yes, we don't pay for empty containers just definitely a blessing for the budget. This is passed down with a positive recommendation.

>> It went to finance it was passed with a positive recommendation. We really fluctuated between two trash companies over the past two years in terms of trash pickup. We were likely to have a ton of bidders. This time it is probably wiser to go with a company that is familiar with our situation because they have dealt with it. Hopefully things get back to normal and we will expect in 2022 to issue an RFP. The one conversation we did have was the possibility of a single stream recycling, saving us money. We have tried offering cycling events throughout the city and generally speaking, there seems to be a large amount of public education that has to happen before we can successfully recycle at city events, we do recycle city buildings, but people are not kept to the concept of cans. With that said, is potentially something for us to work on in the future, but not an option for cost-saving at this time. I believe that is everything.

>> Any members of Council wish to comment? I have one question -- the way you raised last year. Since we did not have trash in a way that was almost problematic because of the concept. We are not obligated in any way to pay certain flat minimum fee.

>> We're not necessarily obligated to pay a minimum fee they bid on the amount they think they will haul. Her biggest moneymakerr holidays. They bid on hauling a lot more trash at those events versus what they haul out from streets and parks. The price we pay to have the trash cans emptied is significantly cheaper than what you would pay at your home.

>> Because they are counting on bigger events to smooth it out.

>> Yes.

>> Thank you. Any other comments? hearing none

{Vote}

>> motion passes. We will go back up the item four.

>> Adopting various operating budgets. The city, including general fund fund liquid fuel fund debt service fund employee payer capital fund.

>> Is there emotion from counsel?

>> Do we have to? So moved.

>> That was the abbreviated version and we went through Monday and went day, every single page in the budget. And tonight working to discuss what we spent our time. The last several days going over now. We will deliberate. Is there anybody who wants to discussion?

>> Before we start any deliberation I just want to share some observations and reflections that I have had since I have been able to go through my first formal budget session. It's unfortunate. This is on the budget after Thanksgiving. I think realistically we should do this in October.

Potential tax increase proposal. we need to do a better job of long-term planning, and I would challenge the administration to put together budget that has more detail and more line item that have more detail. I think this process would go much smoother and it would be more transparent to the taxpayer. I took some time and look for other budget and there are a lot of models out there. There is a lot of good detail. It is my hope and expectation that we work to

accomplish that for next year's review of the budget. We kind of talked about this first couple of sessions, but we cannot keep deficit spending. Using this as a starting point for the following year budget. This has enabled our legacy cost. I know this is very difficult and may be even unrealistic, but we need to figure it out. Need to figure out how to live within our means, rather than repeating this continuous cycle of raising taxes. I feel like this budget is unfortunate. Realistic or not. I think the people spoke when they elected the mayor to get the fiscal house in order and what that means is they are acting a different approach, aligning with the campaign proposals. We are not seeing in this year's budget for the process how the budget was put together. Now the seven of us are faced with a monumental task of minimizing what looks to be the largest tax increase in the last 15 years. In addition to one of the worst times we have seen in decades. I will leave you with this final thought. Last night strict coolie made a comment that stuck with me. It takes a mandate by counsel to follow through in a particular effort that we were debating it was the digitization of technology. I would mandate the administration follow through on the mandate from voters what that might look like immediately -- I would like to hear some feedback from the mayor on how he could minimize or eliminate the tax increase were areas that you would like to cut before we begin any deletions or dive in from the Council perspective.

>> I don't have a segue from that. So I would just get us started from amending the budget. I propose we eliminate the GIS software from the IT budget. Line item 235064012. Eliminate the \$40,000 in its entirety.

>> Page 39.

>> Is that the right line number?

>> You muted yourself.

>> I would really like to ask the mayor how he thought a \$2.5 million tax increase would be okay with counsel in any taxpayer in the city. I think we all got the budget were in a state of shock mayor when you were on counsel for the past two years would even pass 1/4 million dollar increase and then you come to us with a \$2.5 million increase. I don't understand the justification in this specially all year. We have talked about how about 21 for everybody. I cannot approve a tax increase for anything at this point because I know how many are suffering sheer and how much they will suffer next year it will take a couple of years for people to recover after this pandemic so I cannot find out how you can justify this kind of I would like to know what you plan on doing away with this. Why did you even bring us to like this? /so many different things. With the budget coming up. There could be layoffs cannot afford what would happen is a lot everybody to digest. This should have been done before it was brought to us. I am finished on my soapbox.

>> To a large extent I agree with Bonnie and Adam. We had a lot of discussion get the budget out earlier. But it did not actually happen. I would encourage all of us to appreciate this is a very abnormal year. We do not get enough information. Appreciate this is better than what it was two years ago, but I would agree with you budget should be looking to understand how the city operates. Think if you want to work on that with Mr. Poluck the transparency would be incredible. Discuss the budget have had of time and make the budget easier to penetrate. It's a little bit water under the bridge at this point. What we have is a budget all the apartments considered and submitted what they need. Now it's a two point million dollar increase. We all

know that cannot happen. We all know that we need to work to make that better. Sir Banks just made a motion to cut \$40,000. That is a nice start. We have another \$829,000 to go. We need to get started. I agree the administration is to have a part in. I don't think we have had that opportunity to look at its own budget. See the areas you can make cuts. I would certainly welcome that input. We have heard from the chief last night. He is willing to sacrifice to his three cop cars. We need to find more of those things. This is not ideally a year that is typical moving forward. We know we will see decreased income. In addition to increasing cost that hopefully will not increase in 2022. This is a year where we have to pull the gloves off. I propose cutting all general funding Street funds. I don't think this is a year we can afford to do streets. But I think we do need to talk nuts and bolts. We need a discussion of what we are taking out. I would love your input. What do you think we should take out. We missed our opportunity to do it but we need to do it and we need to do it now.

>> Liz, I appreciate all of that. The reason I made the comment I made as I want to ensure we do not continue to go down the road. We have gone down for decades. It is tough love for a new mayor and a new counsel. We cannot continue to repeat the mistakes that we have made for decades, and we must do better. I have a lot of ideas as well. Part of our job is accountability and unfortunately tough love is what accountability looks like. This is what we are all about. Meaning as a way for you to stay on course while you are sitting where you are at. You're ready to get to work.

>> I think everybody understands we are putting the taxpayers in front right now. Heart leads for those hurting think we need to be as painless as we possibly can. None of us expected any of this to happen and it will not end quickly. Being in business I can state this for every day you lose money you never make that day up. There are so many people unemployed businesses that are hanging on by their fingernails and they cannot afford for us to even say anything about a tax increase. Everyone else around us has held it to no tax increase that we have to trim this budget. It's not fair to anyone in the city. Another thing that scares me is we don't know what the census is going to come up with. We are close to having 30,000 people in the city. The census comes back and we lose more numbers we will be screwed out of funding that scares me. I'm holding my breath on that one that we need to be so careful with what we're doing this year. Everybody has to tighten their belt for next year and hopefully things will loosen up. Right now there is no such animal. Let's go through this and cut and slash. It will survive. Just tighten the belt buckle and get on with what we need to do.

>> I would like to --

[Multiple Speakers]

>> I do agree with a lot of the points that were made. I think we should get to work on the budget.

>> I agree. We cannot be uncomfortable about being open and honest. This situation is dire. There is no doubt about that. We need to do some things we have never done before. Having said that. You made a motion, Mr. Banks -- is there a motion?

>> Motion

>> Second

>> Hearing no discussion -- do you have those numbers, Mr. Povluck?

>> Yes. I know them.

>> There is a motion and a second to decrease the line item GS Mapping with \$40,000.

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you, Mr. Banks.

>> Mr. Banks?

>> Same page. Page 39. 235061010. I propose cutting \$13,500 out of that line.

>> What page?

>> 39.

>> Do you want to bring that to \$2000?

>> Yes. This was supposed to bring about iPads and digitization for counsel. We had conversations last night about possibly getting linked into a VPN. Would be nice to have an agenda based software but this year if we can just get onto a centralized server and use another system on the city server. I think that would get us where we need to be without purchasing anything major.

>> If I may jump in for one second --

>> Did we have a second?

>> Second.

>> Now we can discuss.

>> I am suggesting the line should be dropped by 13,000 but that is just my general supplies brought by cables

>> I think the line is in the city Council budget.

>> It is.

>> The line you are referring to. There is an extra zero to the end of that.

>> We just fix that typographical error.

>> I am looking at 64010 then.

>> That is the equipment line item for city Council.

>> Is on page 14.

>> I am comfortable reducing that as well.

>> Do you want to amend your motion?

>> Which one?

>> You made the motion for my supply item and that one I would like to reduce that by 13,500. The description longs on page 9, but we agreed with the reduction.

>> Wait -- which specific number are we changing>

>> Page 39 , 235061010 from 15,000 to 1500.

>> Got it.

>> Can I ask a question?

>> What is the Council equipment line?

>> It is for the digitization for counsel.

>> We can completely eliminate that as well?

>> You could leave me \$2500 in city Council equipment.

>> We are reducing to \$1500 and then we are reducing counsel 64010 -- I know we haven't done that yet but we will do that by \$12,000. Okay. I second your amended motion.

>> He didn't amend it yet.

>> Okay. This is getting confusing. Lets finish the one you originally.

>> Is there any more discussion on that particular one?

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you. Ted do you want to go to counsel?

>> Let me get that.

>> One item 1-106-410-1010. On page 14 down to \$2500 from 14,000

Second.

Hearing none --

[Vote]

The motion passes 6-0. Thank you. Is there any other motion from council members.

>> I have a few. Turn to Page 27. The Department of Public Works 22 7070 -- I suggest we eliminate that

>> There is a motion and a second -- discussion?

>> I agree with this one, we can push this all from liquid fuels 20, 21, I don't know if we can lobby the county for little bit. Is there any type of modification in the liquid fuel

>> This is the general fund

>> Other discussion on this particular motion? Hearing none -- the motion, please

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0

>> I have a couple more. 20 77603 brick Street resurfacing. I suggest we eliminate that. I would also add that I don't think it's not enough to eliminate that 50,000 when we get back we need to get rid of the brick streets. They are costing us way more than \$50,000 each year and that's coming directly from Mr. winner and that's not an option into 2021

>> I agree as well

>> Second

>> This sounds like a name for an album -- any other discussion -- hearing none --

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0 Thank you.

>> Turn to page 44 line item 242-04010 -- support equipment for the fire department. I first asked the vehicle rescue ram. What is that and do we absolutely need one

>> With some additional grant funding coming from the state. It is an E drawer to update our old rams that don't work. New vehicle technology. It is not 100% necessary, but we could move that purchase down the road for potentially look for use tools -- the \$20,000. It looks like grant funding will be available so we have the ability to remove \$27,000 from that or equipment line item in total.

>> That is good news.

>> If you have a grant then that is great.

>> That is part of the CARES ACT.

>> We can take out that 20,000 then we can take out the one for fitness equipment and no firefighters need to be in good shape but I feel like there are any avoid -- if you need me to come down and run Yoga then I can. I need to do some math

>> Can make a suggestion? with the new reduction on the unit and the elimination of the ram - - can I suggest we reduce that line item. Overall, \$35,000 which would leave us a little bit of extra room in that fitness category so we can get our treadmills

>> I think that would be fine
>> My motion is to reduce \$63,000 by \$35,000 making it now \$28,000.
>> Does everybody have that on Council?
>> I would also like to add that I'm extremely sad your mustache is gone.
>> I will second that one.
>> Any other discussion? Seeing none -- the motion

[Vote]

The motion passes 6-0

>> Anybody else? Mr. Yoder?
>> I didn't get page numbers. Give me just a second and I will track it down, here. On Page 50 under the police section -- I would make a motion to reduce that to zero. I know that she was very adamant about zero but I respect that. But we are in a bit of a different situation this year, I think the fact we have added back into the rotation. Since our chief is not taking home vehicles and wall offset this to zero.

>> You made a motion to reduce that line item to zero. Is there a second?

>> Second.

>> Adam I was going to make a motion by two but if you want to drop it by 3 I can go with that.

>> I was thinking it by dropping it by two. If something really happens. I think we can readdress it, then. We are trying to take as much as we possibly can. You understand where we are coming from?

>> Yes, ma'am.

>> Any other discussion on this item?

>> The motion, please --

[Vote]

the motion passes 6-0

>> We are up to 465,500 in cuts. Any other motions?

>> I have a couple of motions to make. I do not know how to make them. Page 60 the Act 13 budget -- while we are busy cutting streets -- I would like to cut hundred \$30,000 out of the street funding. There are no line numbers -- street resurfacing funding. I would like to reduce street servicing rehabilitation by 130,000 dollars.

>> Is there a second?

>> Second.

>> Discussion from Council

>> Can I go into a little bit of detail -- my hope is to keep the funding we have MS-4 , but that is something we can find out of the ACT-13 fund. To make this a one-time cut and then to remove \$175,000. I welcome discussion on any of those elements.

>> There will be one motion to remove 175,00 and then another motion 175,00 to move another line item?

>> That is simply an amount setting aside for future amounts --

>> In the 2020 budget we set aside \$200,000 to build up funds for future projects

>> Which I think is an excellent idea, don't get me wrong, but do we expect to expand that amount of money.

>> Technically we don't have to spend a cent. The next five years.

>> The other idea is to what extent do we find it necessary to fund stormwater. Use of act 13 funds is broad -- both of those things fall under -- we can't necessarily the money to fund salaries or anything like that. What is your feeling? it seems to me we do need to start preparing. If our pollution reduction plan gets accepted. We want to do mitigation as early as possible to move to meet the needs of our MS-4 plan. But we don't know when that will happen. Thoughts?

>> It actually is approved.

>> Good.

>> If we are --

[Multiple Speakers]

>> If we are talking about 175,000 for stormwater . I don't know why we can't take it completely out of the budget this year.

>> I agree.

>> I know Adam has been working on it for however many years

>> It is already passed.

>> We have \$200,000 set aside from last year

>> There may have been some small dollars somewhere -- it's a question of how much we need to build up in five years. So we have that available

>> I don't have my computer in front of me.

>> We should move 175,000 into Act-13 money. that would drop 200,000 to the bottom line. It would save 175,000 for the PRP. Depending on what our needs in 2021 be in funding.

>> They are responsible for 65 and if we move this into act 13 money we needed for streets. We could maneuver it out of there.

>> I would prefer that.

>> I have a motion on the door to reduce street resurfacing and rehabilitation from \$130,000 to zero. I have a second for that. Comments?

>> Apparently not. The motion please --

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0

>> Go ahead, Mrs Miele.

>> The next motion is to remove \$45,000 making that line also zero.

>> I second that.

>> Discussion?

>> From what I understand they will loosen up funding and we need to get in touch with Dean [indiscernible] John and I think you understand where we are going with this. The governor is going to loosen up the purse strings for our communities for any medication at this point, and that would be part of it.

>> That particular item is for maintenance and then that would be Adams question. I don't have the budget in front of me so I cannot answer that question.

>> It's not.

>> Joe, can you ask how much money we have set aside?

>> You can if you give me a couple of minutes. We just one second.

>> The goal in the long run if we are able to get a grant involving graph is run, it would be for contracting work for infrastructure workout. The goal in the long run is to establish and funds in this line to assign funds to have design money ready if and when we have infrastructure money that we can play with. The infrastructure is likely to be beyond us cost wise.

>> We just need to get them to release it next year.

>> That would be a good job for the consultants that we select.

>> Liz -- the governor announced yesterday. He said the federal government was not stepping up enough to help litigation and he has indicated that he is going to start on helping disabilities on the state level respond to these issues. I know we are very cautious on what we spend with lobbyist maybe this is something we compare, but it sounds like it would be the planning with what the governor is putting together [inaudible] . It not only saves us millions of dollars in the long run, but if that funding comes down pretty quickly. Then we get to put money back into the streets. I've also heard the federal government is starting to get more money. Including these plans so is something we need to stay on top of. Good chance that right now and get a few million dollars out of this.

>> That is really good news. That needs to be priority one that we all need to get to work on. Liz, did you make the motion on 45?

>> Yes.

>> It was seconded.

>> The balance is 156 for ACT-13 funds.

>> Awesome. Thank you, Joe. That gives us to start with.

>> There is a motion and second. The motion, please.

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you.

>> We are making good progress. You have any other motion?

>> Bottom line hasn't changed with my motions because it's affecting ACT-13. But, the next motion I would make. On page 60 is to add a line to the ACT-13 budge for MR-4 for Chesapeake.

>> I will defer to Norm --

>> It takes 5 votes.

>> I will fund it with 175,000

>> Motion and second. Discussion?

>> Hearining none -- the motion -

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you, Mrs. Frank.

>> My next motion would take us ass to Page 28. Streets and Parks MS-4 . I want to reduce that from \$200,000 to Zero,

>> Second.

>> There is a motion and second.

>> Hearing none -- the motion

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you.

>> Randy and Joe, where are we at?

>> Right now. The bottom line is 789 496 that includes all of the changes were made tonight, plus the balance that was originally there.

>> So about 600,000 to the good. On page 8 line 025242150 the TV cable franchise -- should we increase it?

>> It should be increased to --

>> Increase it by 120,000.

>> Page 8.

>> It is now 340

>> Is there a second?

>> Second by Mr. Banks. Discussion? We already voted.

>> That is still about 68,000 less. I used conservative numbers.

>> That is even better news.

>> Any further discussion from counsel?

>> . Seeing none -- the motion --

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0

>> Can I jump in? While we are on the revenue side. I don't have a motion here, but I would like to go to line item 44910 on page 9. The indirect costs of transportation. With the amount of time that city government spends over there \$75,000 revenue is extremely short to me. I would like to see as time as early as next week to see what the actual true revenue, cost of that would be. It may not be anything but it seems that could be intentionally increased.

>> I think you mentioned that before. Mr. Winder?

>> Yes sir?

>> How would that timeline be?

>> I can produce no problem, but the city side from City Hall are complicated piece as I don't think that is being done there.

>> If we get something to start with that would be helpful.

>> You will see a lot of hours from RVT doing city stuff.

>> Okay. We take whatever we can get in the short amount of time to work towards a picture is quickly as we can.

>> Any other motions?

>> I would decrease the demolition line in the codes to department on page 47

>> 46

>> Thank you, Joe. To see we can economize there. I was hoping for five or 10,000. Especially hoping if there is anything in back years in development. I am not sure that is a reality. I guess I will make a motion to reduce line 225079550 button thousand dollars and with a second we can discuss.

>> Second.

>> Motion and second. Discuss --

>> If we get to tier 1 building down. We use sometimes every once in a while it happens. There is an emergency comes up where a building needs to be taken down because the owner is not around and that night you have to make a decision to tear it down. You can't eliminate it, but I may have to come back to kill: say I need X amount of money -- that is why it is there.

>> I understand that and it is a real possibility. I hope that doesn't happen, but in the event that we need that emergency funding you could access it and come before us as a transfer. Is that accurate?

>> I assume so.

>> I would move that money up to clean and seal. Hopefully there would be enough left in that balance accommodate that, and then ask for additional funds. Is that a compromise?

>> In a specific circumstance wouldn't there be fire escrow funds?

>> I am talking about me having to chair the building down. I like to have the funds there to do it --

>> I understand that -- then we can use it as non revenue and fire escrow to reimburse -- does that make sense?

>> There are times where I have no fire escrow because there is no insurance. It is in case of an emergency

>> If we combine the two less. The reduction -- we could ask for a transfer. I am just trying to find a way to meet in the middle.

>> I like the way Joe was saying this.

>> I am open to discussion in removing the motion is everybody wants. Whatever you think.

>> I make a motion that we move 5000 more into clean and seal. How does that sound?

>> Why don't I amend my motion? remove 13,000 and then we will put money into clean and seal.

>> The motion passes 6-0.

>> I make a motion to put 5,000 into clean and seal.

>> There is a motion and a second. Any discussion? Hearing and seeing none -- the motion.
[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0.

>> A little better. And then I have at that point -- where are we at in terms of the bottom line.

>> 917,046 if everything I have is consistent.

>> I am going to suggest that while we aim to reduce the tax increase -- we also remember that we need to leave a certain amount of honey in the bottom line. In case there is an emergency. Such as a pandemic. So we have a little bit of wiggle room. With that said, that means we have cut more than 700,000 from this budget so far and I think that is good news. We are approaching the point where we could intentionally have a tax increase of 1 1/4 million dollars. I am hoping there is a couple of other loose items can shake loose for easy items. This is the point to talk about more complex -- I raise the question last night on whether we could do without our records clerks and that the police department. That may be an additional \$50,000. We could remove if that is something we could consider. Norm? Can I ask you a question. Talk to me about the controller office. The controller can take a week or two off and you have someone present to sign checks for the city, etc. etc. deputize someone else?

>> It would have to be someone appointed by the controller. And if the controller consent to that.

>> Consent to the appointment or an assistant controller.

>> For a limited authority to sign checks. The problem we run into somebody would be asked to sign a contract -- they may not understand it.

>> We would need someone within City Hall to act as a assistant controller -- understood. I don't want to propose anything tonight. We do have another week and vote on the budget. It would be a small savings. Does anyone else have anything they would like to discuss?

>> I gave a pretty detailed description for a lot of the trainings and why we need to keep it at 60. I have gone through this again today in light of these extraordinary times and circumstances, and I believe as hard as it would be and I would not advocate for future years, but I think we could slice our training budget by \$20,000. It's 244071530, we could bring up from 60 down to 40 that still allows us to go through all the schools that are absolutely necessary. In a year like this in an effort to work together as a team here they \$20,000 we can do without for another year.

>> That is on page 49. For those who are following.

>> What are the specific schools you will miss out on?

>> The new assistant chief and cap police executive training. I can train them here. We were planning on sending seven supervisors to a school called response to suicidal subjects and we can put that off. We are planning on sending to officers to an explosive breaching course for \$4000. We were going to send three agents to advance practical homicide investigation at a cost of \$3500. Reid technique of interviewing \$3500. Proactive troll tax X 2850. New criminal investigator \$1400 and dark web investigations for \$1200 that comes out to right under \$19,000.

>> Chief I am a licensed drone pilot so I would be happy to come down and get training.

>> In that case, that is 244074903?

>> I would propose an amendment to subtract \$20,000 from that budget.

>> I will second that.

>> The motion is seconded. Any further discussion?

>> By reducing this. Is there any chance that minimizes the overtime that will be spent next year by the force?

>> . Yes. Inevitably, depending on the date. The less people go to school the last overtime we have.

>> Is there any way we can get some other community organizations to sponsor some of the stuff, like maybe the DA office for the County. I know we do a lot of the work hand-in-hand.

>> Yes sir. We already do and we continue to reach out. The district attorney's office assists. And we will continue to do this.

>> Thank you, Chief.

>> I think the Chief beat me.

>> Take a page from Chief Hagan. Our training budget is very important aspect facility maintenance -- let me find that. 2420 -- page 44.

>> Let me interrupt -- we haven't voted. Would there be any other comments?

>> Could you go back to the suicidal suspect training --

>> That is one of the proposed trainings we are going to send officers to.

>> How much was that training? I think that would be once we do not want to cut. Is there something else we could plug in there?

>> There is one we could plug in constitutional use of force. I hesitate to cut that because it is ever evolving and we need to stay on top of that, but that's \$1500. We have a couple of more in

there that we could put off -- we do have a couple more we could hold off. It is an important training.

>> I feel like if there is something else we could plug in or two smaller ones. We could plug in. I personally feel like that is an important training and I don't feel comfortable taking that one out.

>> I agree.

>> I am glad you brought it up. Policing is changing so much, especially in the last six months. I would be frightened if we pulled that out.

>> I agree with the two of you. Thank you, John. Do we want to take a harder look and come back with this in two weeks?

>> Or if you are comfortable --

>> I can send you all the list -- or I could easily substitute. Thank you for your concern on that.

>> Great.

>> Okay -- the motion, please.

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Chief Killian.

>> On page 44. Line item 242060 Facility maintenance. I do think we could take \$20,000 out and that leaving us with \$40,000 in there and still allow room for our repairs. I can work with them on some of the roof repair options on some of the roof repair leaks.

>> We can definitely work within that. If we need extra we can pull elsewhere.

>> Is there a motion from council?

>> So moved.

>> Take out \$20,000.

>> Okay.

>> Second.

>> Is there further discussion? Thank you, Chief and being part of the group discussion.

>> The motion, please.

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you.

>> On page 43. Subscription and dues. It's a relatively small amount but we can reduce that and have down to \$3000. That will provide us with the absolute necessary subscription support an FPA and will make due with that.

>> Thank you, Chief. Does someone want to make that motion?

>> Reducing it from 6000 3000.

>> There is a motion and second. Hearing no discussion -- the motion --

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thanks again, Chief. Is there anything or anyone else?

>> I just would like to open the floor for some discussion. Most of our expenses as we know our payroll, benefits and legacy. What if we look at taking some of our departments and outsourcing them. We did outsource HR for a couple of years. It was then did it saved us for and legacy and we were not responsible. Nick, you outsource IT.

>> We do for specific software. Yes we do use an IT firm for our software.

>> What if we looked into outsourcing IT for City Hall. I am just throwing this out to the members of Council to see what your thoughts are. How long do we use outsourcing for HR

>> I think it was 3 years. And we outsource recreation for one year. We definitely have done in the past.

>> I think we looked at that as a transition at one time because the previous occupant had to retire unexpectedly. Eventually we got to that. But, yes.

>> The only discussion I would bring up is sometimes it's a good idea with some departments but on the HR side some things you need to have some longevity for someone trying to command you don't get long term individuals like you do. They are on staff. I note there was a period where finance was assisting with that. Then there were two other individuals during that period they came through.

>> Totally agree. I don't think you were referring to HR directly.

>> Oh I know. Different areas you want to have longevity. Just be careful what categories you are looking for.

>> I am trying to think outside the box at this point. I don't want to take anything away from City Hall, but we also have to be cognizant of what's going on with our taxpayers and what we can do to think outside the box and be creative. I am just throwing it out for discussion.

>> I agree. I think that would be valuable. We talked about contracting it out. Hiring independent contractors. That happens as well. Independent contractors are middle ground or they are dedicated to you. Most of the time but you don't have the legacy cost burden than you typically have with regular employees. Concerning IT -- that is a big move. When we consider the administration getting back to us on that. We still have another week.

>> Sure. Chris and I can get together and do that no problem.

>> Okay. So we can move on to something else. Thank you, Bonnie so we can think outside all of the boxes. depending on where we want to get and how we get there. There are some other areas we can cut. We talked about special events and recreation. I know it is designed to bring in revenue but that is under the best of times. It's hard to bring in revenue when we are under constrictions of this virus. It might be something we look at in this area. I am not saying we don't have a pool for swimming this year. But, that is why outside the box but it is a scenario --

>> I just kind of second that -- I was going to bring up the swimming pool. No one has a crystal ball. There was still a leak in the deep end. If there was even maybe a year we don't open the pool and just try and fix it and fix it for good.

>> I think there are multiple line items. The concrete is definitely cracked. We chased a leak in the concrete year ago. The goal is going to be . Uncover the piping -- unfortunately we never made it to the point to open the pool.

>> I believe that is a great idea. If we go with another year of the pool closed what kind of pushback will you guys get?

>> Well we will get pushback regardless.

>> It is a wonderful aspect but not knowing what this next year will bring -- we moving forward -- I don't know.

>> Do you have any idea if anything was done as far as a cost estimate?

>> I think we spent 10,000 chasing the leak. Having a welder weld small leaks and plumbers pressurize pipes exposed. In my opinion it is between the pumphouse and the deep end. When it was upgraded the exterior was not done. Ideally it would be 20,000.

>> If ever there was a year to do it -- this would be that year. It would save us money if water

was not leaking out where it is not supposed to. We could explore that possibility.

>> The previous year it was not open on time because of problems with the pool. We do have the fund for the pool that was donated, right?

>> Yes. There is the capital fund. I think we set it up for down the road. We didn't do a full on restoration. We just did the shell -- do you want to use that today or in the future on the slide or when it deteriorates.

>> The shallow end was all redone and tested. The old drain wasn't replaced in the deep end. I did the shallow end inspection.

>> Just the scum gutter itself on the east end.

>> What is out water bill?

>> We lost over 1 million gallons last year.

>> That's a lot of money. We don't know how long things will stay this way. Life will not be as normal as it was. People will be upset but we should fix it instead of wasting money on it leaking.

>> We opened this box and could I suggest we have the admin come up with some numbers and talk about this and we move on it next weel with more concrete numbers. It is a big step.

>> We should be able to get some water bills to see the impact of the leak and when we can see the leak and when it originated.

>> How does council feel?

>> Agreed.

>> i think it would be a good thing to explore. I would like to understand the summary and how much that would drop to the bottom line. I don't know what that looks like. But, I think we had some negative impacts of recreation and we should offer some other program if we do not offer the pool. But it depends on what the numbers say.

>> We could get that to you as well.

>> I agree with you, Liz. I want to see other parks added to the program. Even though it is close to the memorial we do need to increase our parks program. If we don't open the pool we need something to benefit the kids.

>> You already have the leak and eventually it will drop all together until it collapses. If you don't do something about it --

>> That is my biggest fear.

>> If there is money there -- another prgram would be great but --

>> What's the saying?

>> I think we have a good way forward on this discussion. Let's let admin come back to us with these numbers. We are back to other ideas from this morning. I think we are up to wihtout the balance 778,500 dollars. Is that right?

>> 964,096. so 781,500. Which is -- we are inching up on 1 million.

>> We have a good start.

>> I think this is a good start and we need to do more. But I find it to quit while I am ahead.

>> I am kind of sensing that as well. We need to look at the outsourcing issue on IT and whether there is any other program we may do better without next year. I think it may be best to rest ourself and work on this next week.

>> I think we all have brain bleed right now.

>> One thing is to increase the city clerks salary. That is on page 15. I believe the difference between 1251510 line item the difference between 38496 and 38056 is 3800 dollars. We need to find that. Originally I thought we had more in our training line account. i don't think we will use that this year. I think that is good. We could take that 150 but we need to find another 300 dollars would do it. I was looking in our budget for city clerk there is 500 on page 15 for cc training. That is recert for her notary. I had a conversation and it is not needed until 2022. There is paperwork that has to be filed.

>> I say we should take that if it is not needed until 2022.

>> Okay.

>> Or can we take funding from other service and document it. I see we only used 600 --

>> The copy machine.

>> Okay.

>> The lease ran out so it would be not replacing the machine there.

>> We would be due to get a new machine?

>> Or we just keep the machine there. We own the machine now.

>> What condition is it in?

>> We would have to talk to the city clerk.

>> It is doable, randy.

>> Can we take more out?

>> There is still maintenance on it. So, I would have to see.

>> I don't use the maintenance, much.

>> But, I need to see how the arrangement has been made.

>> I think it is safe if you look for a number and we can evaluate it before next Thursday.

>> I make a motion to move 450 and reduce that but 450 dollars.

>> I second that.

>> There is a motion and a second. Are there any discussion?

>> Can you include an increase in FICA.

>> Okay.

>> I would like to have a discussion. She is our emplyee and does so much for everybody. For her to have lost that kind of money is not right. If i have a problem, I always call Janice.

>> The workload has exploded for a number of reasons. There is a lot that goes through that office that is not council related. Can we have the vote, please?

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you.

>> I guess we did lose Dave.

>> He is having some audio issues.

>> We can go with it passes 5-0. I will make a motion that we increase line item [inaudible] salaries by 450 dollars and relate it by increase.

>> Second.

>> Other discussion?

>> Hearining none -- motion?

[Vote]

>> Motion passes 6-0. Thank you. Okay is it councils desire to let this go and come back and let

the admin check on those couple items? Thank you for letting us work some more on our end. We do need to vote on this tonight. So we can have our second reading next week,

>> Item 4 --

[Vote]

>> Motion passes 4-2 so we move it along. Lets go down to [inaudible]

Is there a motion?

>> We need to pony up on this I will make the motion.

>> I will make the second. we need to move this along or we will schedule another meeting.

This has already changed and we made a movement. Do we need to address this tonight?

>> If you don't change the rate and it stays with a high end balance of -- I don't have that number.

>> But if we change the rate it will drop down to the position -- I would be open to either. We could reduce it and then find other next week. We have incorporated enough savings the recude the tax by three quarters of a mil.

>> Put it at 17.22

>> I think it gets approved on the second meeting then you have your final reading. I may not be right but I believe that is correct.

>> We need a motion to ammend.

>> I make a motion to ammend to read 17.22 mil

>> To be very clear to who might be watching that does not mean it is the final resting place we have eliminated and hope to eliminate further amounts

>> We do have several ideas. We are not staying stagnant.

>> On the motion -- Mrs. Frank

[Vote]

The motion passes 4-2

>> Can I ask a question. We pass with how many people?

>> 4

>> That brings us to Item 8 certificates of appropriateness -- we have a list of 942 W. 3rd St. and that is making some revisions and so forth. Item 519912 W. 3rd St. It is replacing material and doing other renovations. Is there a motion and a second?

>> So moved

>> Seconded

>> Hearining and seeing none -- Motion, please?

>> I'm sorry -- I missed -- 436 W. 4th St. is installing fencing in 312 Park St. is removing and renovating also. He gave me a motion?

>> I did. I thought you said both.

>> We already have a motion -- would you ammend to include thoses two?

>> Now we have the correct motion --

[Vote]

>> The motion passes 6-0. Thank you.

>> Item 9 finalizing veterans memorial minutes and controller report from September 20, 2020 and minutes from November. Is there a motion and a second Council?

>> Motion

>> Second.

>> Hearing and seeing none -- the motion --

[Vote]

>> The motion 6-0. Thank you.

>> Our next Council meeting will be Thursday, December 10, one week from tonight at 6:30 PM meeting remotely and we will be picking up the budget again. December 4 11 AM, and I believe we have an agenda for that Mrs. Miele.

>> Yes we do. We are further exploring amendments to the zoning to look at improving neighborhoods. He is scheduled to have a few [inaudible]

>> Tuesday, December 8. The finance meeting 2:30 PM as the public works meeting. 4 PM City Hall committee meeting. Wednesday, December 9 11:30 AM redevelopment Authority and 3:30 PM pension. And then we have our next City Councilman. Are there any items from other people tonight?

>> Just a reminder I believe there is parking downtown for shoppers. So get downtown and do some shopping and don't worry about adding any money in the meter.

>> Important detail there. Items from admin? are there any items from the public? No items from the public. We are going to adjourn. We are going into executive session to discuss legal items litigation as well. I would take and motion for adjournment --

>> So moved.

>> Seconded.

>> All in favor?

>> Thank you.

Chat