

## StreamBox

Testing testing testing . You speak good afternoon,

>>

To extend the traps bid contract one more year, it was originally four 2019 and 2020 .

Unfortunately, due to COVID-19 essentially, shutting down half of the events , recreation etc., we were not able to hold up powerful end of the contract about disposal. They did bid on all of recreation and all of the parks etc. , so, after trying to figure things out and trying to protect the future for 2021, which everybody knows is impossible at this point, not knowing what there is or can happen or will happen , will there be events or not be events , it was decided that the best option was that disposal was offered to hold the 2020 rate going into 2021 with no increase at all. It seems like the best option for the city at this point . Every year we put this up to bid naturally the prices go up . So, it does lock us into a rate that we currently know what it is, we are under the understanding that we do not know what is going to happen in 2021 , recreation events , they are okay with that, they just want to keep providing excellent service for us. This was reviewed by source or Maggie, it was sent to Austin White, two months ago. I believe. I did not get a response from him but solicitor Maggie did review this and said in in her opinion it was the best wreck imitation possible. I know she did talk to (NAME) about it as well, and we believe that it is definitely the best possible scenario for us to come up with.

>>

Thank you Adam. I think that makes sense . Bonnie, Adam, (NAME) any questions?

>>

Yes I was concerned that we are not doing an RFP. I wanted to know that we were okay with this and the only thing that bothers me Adam is that Norm or Austin was not used until Maggie was used. I did like what she said as far as after she reviewed the scenario and the issue in breach and emergency loophole for a short-term fix due to COVID and we did not fulfill our contractual scenario since they did not call the tonnage. But, we are willing to pull the amount. According to the contract there is no tonnage, it is barrels and dumpsters. Nothing is ever stated in the contract as far as tonnage goes. But, what I found a little bit discerning here is the fact that instead of using Austin or norm who is our city solicitor you went to Jill Maggie, I think this is a city situation and it should have gone to those solicitors, not Jill. I know Jill is over there and it is convenient for you , but as you stated we want to separate RVT from sitting.

>>

It did go to Austin White with no response.

>>

Okay. As you said, there was no response from Austin, I only heard from Norm. When I talked to him. So, I guess Austin did not respond

>>

No, he did not. He had it for about a month and I did not get a response from him. That is why I forwarded it on to Jill.

>>

In the future, let's try and keep ? as you stated last night to keep things separate, okay?

>>

Yes. Now RVT is a part of this, so I guess that was part of the reason why I used her because this does cover all RVT facilities, parking decks, trade and transit one into, RVT pays for the trash containers that are on the streets through downtown . So, it is something that is kind of combined.

>>

Backup a second, RVT takes care of the trash downtown?

>>

Yes ma'am.

>>

I was not aware of that. Looking at the contract I see where RVT takes care of the liners and trade in transit one into, which I think is good. But I did not realize RVT was doing the trash downtown.

>>

Yes, because most of the trash cans sit next to bus stops, bus shelters, things of that nature. So everything gets billed out , RVT gets billed out for the trash and denser.

>>

Yes, another one near (NAME) gets filled all the time. That is one that I see. Okay, that is all I have to say.

>>

Thank you .

>>ADAM: We talked about this last night, I get why you reached out to Jill. It seems like that was an isolated incident with Austin. But, we should just make sure that that is not happening consistently . That is little concerning, but my suspect is that he is been pretty slammed. That is my guess. That is not an isolated incident, then we should figure it out, but anyway , the only other thing that comes to mind since RVT takes care of some of the garbage cans ? this may not be ? this may not matter or be an important question but after last night's discussion it just came to mind , how is the billing working? Is the city paying the bill? And then, RVT is reimbursing the city? Is it going the other way? I guess just how is that mechanism working and are we squared away on funding between RVT and the city?

>>CHRIS: Jump in and if I'm wrong Joe, but the city pays the bill and the RVT does the reimbursement.

>>ADAM: Gotcha, okay. That is the only other thing that comes to mind for me.

>>

Adam all I am curious about is that I did not see any savings in 2020 given that we had far less trash given that we normally would .

>>CHRIS: We definitely saved significant money on the trash bill. Now our disposal is phenomenal, a friend of (NAME) reach out to me and she said hey we are not going to bill you for these items, because we do not feel it is right. If it is something you want to consider, we will hold our 2020 price for 2021, and I actually to her that I will take it to counsel . She was very patient, typically we only get two different companies for bid. It is a very low price for what service they are providing to us, so in my personal opinion they lost a lot of money in my opinion on this contract. But it is nice that we are able to help them through this trying time but extending another year .

>>ELIZABETH: Well that's awesome. It seems like this is an all-around wind. So clearly, it is the way that we should go moving into 2021. It is better than trying to put out an RFP not knowing what our services will be for next year. My one other question Adam in terms of like kind of a budgetary savings question is, I know that personally at my house I save a significant amount of money on trash by doing single stream recycling. That is to say, single stream recycling tote cost me like six bucks or something like that in the trash pickup cost like 25. Have we considered the idea of doing recycling of trash , is that something (NAME) would do and would that be a savings for us?

>>CHRIS: So at City Hall, public works, RVT, we do the recycling but streets and parks go to City Hall every Friday picks up the cardboard and the plastics and takes them to a recycling center. Here at RVT we have a cardboard dumpster through Bauer and it all gets taken to the recycling center, streets and part are the same thing. We are recycling all these places but the biggest thing is that the parks, last year we tried putting out the recycling containers as well as trash cans for events and things of that nature, I hate to say it this way but people just aren't separating the stuff. And really when it comes to Brandy Park or Memorial Park and things of that nature, the city is actually paying people to put their residential trash in our trash cans and we haul it away because that's pre-much what we are getting there. We have cut a few people , but it is very challenging because they come across to the park in the middle of the night, they drop their trash and if you have the police come out and they go through the trash bag, you can see that there was a person that actually put the bag in there. So I hope that makes sense.  
(PHONE RINGING) .

>>ELIZABETH: Yes, so there's not a whole lot ? I have to be honest , it seems to be a city that we need to do something about our current trash hauler situation. It is ridiculous that we have people who simply do not have their own trash and leave their own things in public receptacles instead. But, that is a much larger conversation for another time. But, I can appreciate that the more trash receptacles we have out there the more likely it is that people are simply going to use them as their own personal trash receptacles. But, you are saying if that we were to have recycling there was simple use those trash receptacles as well connect .

>>CHRIS: Yes ma'am.

>>ELIZABETH: Got it.

>>CHRIS: It is not been successful at the events that we have tried using it so far.

>>ELIZABETH: I think there is a learning curve element there, you have to do it for a period of time before people start to really get onto the fact that you have recycling receptacles. But, with that said this may not be the year to try and introduce another learning curve to anybody (CHUCKLE) because we have had a lot of learning curves anyway. But, I think it is something that we should bear in mind , but you're right there are a couple other ducts that we have to get in a row before we go there. Okay, I think that was the only question we had. My only other question was the verbiage in the resolution reads to me a bit like an ordinance ? And I do not know if that is appropriate in a resolution setting. I am concerned I guess specifically about item number three on the resolution. All ordinances or resolutions or parts of ordinances or resolutions insofar should be replaced to rescinded ? That is nothing I've ever seen in a resolution before and I'm not certain if it would not have some sort of detrimental effect on anything else within ? I do not really think there will be any impact but I am just trying .

>>CHRIS: Right, we can just simply remove that and get a new copy from Janice.

>>ELIZABETH: How does anybody else on counsel feel? I was just hoping there would not be anything negative about that incorporating it into the resolution.

>>ADAM: I don't think it is a bad idea, but I would double check to make sure. There is always random quirky legalities that hang out there in ordinances so I can appreciate that.

>>

Can I say something? That should not be in their . There is no need to have the mayor's signature on a resolution ever.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay, all right awesome, thank you Janice.

>>ADAM: Janice to the rescue.

>>ELIZABETH: Janice maybe you can work with Adam to revise that real quick? It really reads like an ordinance and I do not know if that language is appropriate and something as simple as a resolution. The one thing I would not mind seeing in their Adam is the precise cost of the contract for 2021. The 44,000 ? .

>>CHRIS: If I do it as a not to exceed is that better?

>>ELIZABETH: Yes, that is better.

>>ADAM: Yes, I think that is fine.

>>ELIZABETH: Would be nice to have the number in the resolution.

>>CHRIS:

>>JANICE: Call me and I will help you with the back end of it. P6 okay thank you. I .

>>JON: You said that the mayor signature is not required on resolutions, is that true? Joe, is that correct to your understanding ? I could've sworn that it was recently told to me that the mayor does have to sign resolutions.

>>JOSEPH: They would have to sign all contracts.

>>JANICE: It was all contracts, not resolutions.

>>JON: Okay, sorry.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay, will now that we have thoroughly debated this item, does anybody have any further comments? Before you'll never get anything past Janice

(LAUGHTER)

>>JANICE: Too many years Elizabeth. (CHUCKLE) .

>>ELIZABETH: I am glad someone has too many years. And if I do not have any other comments I will take a motion on this resolution.

>>BONNIE: I make a move that we pass this on to full body counsel with the changes.

>>ADAM: I second that.

>>ELIZABETH: All in favor ? (I) .

>>ELIZABETH: Resolution awarding the professional design for the Willow Street Rican design and one of the seven parts it is submitted. John Sander?

>>JON: Since it is programmed it should say ? I submitted a proposal . But anyway, Willow Street is essentially the quarter-mile long I call it million-dollar alley , there is \$1 million set aside for contraction. The purpose of it is a safe walkway for college students and pedestrians in town going from east to west. We are going to try and implement grain infrastructure and improvements in its design and construction. This Willow reconstruction will go from the west side of market Street to the east side of base Street. I will put out an RFP in the summer for and

got seven proposals. Just some more highlights of the project , right trying to incorporate design concepts like permeable pavers, things that allow water to infiltrate, it will be a fully construction of Willow Street. In conjunction with the way sport initiative which is in multiple projects, so much ? some of which are done and some of which are not done yet , and some of which are currently on the books like this one for example. Looking at there is a whole bunch of utilities and overheads and I am sure there are several underground utilities that will have to be looked at in design. In addition, there will be lighting warrant study, just being a safe walkway we want to make sure that it is lighted properly. If you see this, there will be a coronation with the local colleges and businesses and PennDOT involvements because of the \$1 million for the project is TA set aside money which is federal money, feds give the oversight to the local PennDOT District, this is PennDOT District 3. There will be 88 improvements ? a D a . We have seven proposals. You guys have all had a chance to see them or at least ? there have been a couple emails I have shot out regarding them. Most of them already saw the dollar figures , that is all public knowledge. I will read those out loud. We have ? Bassett engineering, for the design services \$418,000. Hundred six \$9702. Larson design group, \$420,000 . Lytic, hundred 91,000 four, peters, for 43,000 , stall shave engineering \$489,436 and would engineering 406 seven , 643. That's all have at the moment. Any discussion here? I personally am down to three in my mind. I guess I might well state that, who they are? Hello?

>>BONNIE: Hi John. I don't know what she heard ? .

>>ELIZABETH: What?

>>JON: I'm kind of shortlisted down to three in my head, and ultimately one but if you have a chance to review the proposal I assume , what are your thoughts?

>>ELIZABETH: If I can ask a couple of questions John first. Or I guess make a couple of statements and then get some input from the rest of the finance committee . Generally speaking, when a resolution comes before the finance committee and the full body of counsel for adoption, it does not fall to counsel to debate the merits , that is to say the administration he selected over a proposal. And is recommending that proposal to us, now, I have skimmed the proposals that we have received and I guess and I am okay with the idea of us debating the merits and various proposals of that's the way we want to go. But generally speaking, in every past example that I can think of, when it comes to counsel for about , the resolution says this firm, this amount of money , this is the administration's recommendation. The administration is presenting this firm, this proposal for your acceptance.

>>JON: Yet ? yes.

>>ELIZABETH: This is unusual, what made you decide to go this route?

>>JON: I'm just trying to be transparent and let you know all that proposals on there. The dollar figures and all my thoughts on the proposals. I am trying to be transparent and communicative with you guys as far as what we have got going on. But, if it is too much I can scale it down.

>>ELIZABETH: I guess my thought ? my thought would be that perhaps the way we approach it is a little bit more to discuss the merits of the various proposals today at finance. But, ideally I ask that you either pull this from the agenda or that we table it and then we come back to it next Thursday with the resolution from the administration that says this is the firm that we are going with and this is the amount of money. I appreciate your ability to have input on it, but I think that when whatever form of this we pass should just have one firm and one dollar amount.

>>JON: Sure.

>>ELIZABETH: And call it a day. Bonnie,

>>BONNIE: We are not supposed to table from a committee,

>>ELIZABETH: Correct, we would table it from the full body, and my recommendation on it would be that you go to Derek and ask him, the administration would be able to pull something from the agenda at this point. So, just ask them to pull it and then we would see it next Thursday in a slightly different format.

>>JON: So next Thursday you want me to say ? to write a resolution that says we recommend firm letter a in this amount of dollars ? .

>>ELIZABETH: Yes, if you want to Janice she would have a lot of formats for that. Whereas , such and such, this hereby awards the contract for the Willow Street Alley to X firm in an amount not to exceed X, Y or Z. Not to exceed number carries with it the assumption that I know I saw at least in (NAME)'s contract that they were not including any money for lighting design. So, if we wanted lighting design that was going to be an additional amount of money. But, part of the point of the not to exceed is that you would have to come back to us if you have any add-ons to the original contract amount.

>>JON: I understand, thank you.

>>BONNIE: It is very helpful though John,

>>ELIZABETH: I appreciate the opportunity of input on that, but I think when we go on it the better format to take would be the firm that we have chosen and the amount of money that their bid includes.

>>JON: Okay.

>>ELIZABETH: So that said, my recommendation would be that we forward this with no recommendation to the full body counsel. But, of course that is up to anybody .

>>BONNIE: I make a motion that we process on with no recognition.

>>ELIZABETH: I do not think we need to do that yet Bonnie, I guess what I was trying to say is that do you Adam and body do you have any thoughts on the proposals, on the merits of the various proposals? Or John, do you want to give us a bit of an overview as somebody who has spent more time with each of these proposals than any of us have had?

>>JON: Sure. There are four that stand out. Stall Schaefer engineering, would engineering, would engineering , Larson engineering and (NAME).

>>ELIZABETH: What you think stands out about them? So those four have .

>>JON: Yet to follow their design plans and specifications that are written in the design manual three to be specific. Those four companies have extensive work with PennDOT. And it makes my job a lot easier. The design portion will go a lot smoother. I think the other three are not quite there at this moment. And frankly, there proposals do not have the while factors that start shapers, (NAME)'s and Wood did. So, I will pick from one of those four friends.

>>ELIZABETH: It will actually be next week John, just FYI. We have a very shortened schedule of Council meetings in December.

>>JON: Okay.

>>BONNIE: And John, if there's going to be a discussion with Dewberry, how much the light ? lighting would cost, the lighting design, you have to put that in there.

>>JON: Well, you can have that discussion and I will redo the proposal on it. In addition to the

fees ? the dollar figures that the seven companies submitted there also additional fees that may include ? further historic studies then what PennDOT and Willow already do themselves.

(PHONE RINGING) Supplement will be added and in addition to that, sorry, I can't hear with the ? an environmental assessment will happen but on page 2 or three a supplement will be added to that. In addition to that, because it is a historic district, there is a potential for archaeology, unlikely archaeology in the area in the event that they find something to further archaeological studies as well. So, there are several things that they could put in there but they did not. So ? .

>>ELIZABETH: Just to be clear John, what is the scope of work the city is envisioning for this alley?

>>JON: The scope of work is that the winning firm will submit a what is called APS any package, plans, specifications and actions package. Signed and sealed by a licensed engineer, that include specifications for the construction and handles over to PennDOT, and PennDOT will bid them on their electronic instruction management system East ? E CMS. That is deliverable. Then, it will be awarded to a contractor for a low bid and they will build it.

>>ELIZABETH: Got it, I guess what I am asking is what are we picturing this thing looking like?

>>JON: Sure. If you saw in my proposal , a somewhat green and for structure with 1/3 of the services being permeable. And or adding ? how do I say this ? other aesthetic elements on the side, so as to ? four beautification essentially. This will be shared as a walkway and roadway as well. The three got it. So basically what we are looking at is possibly some element of streetscape .

>>JON: Correct .

>>ELIZABETH: And the bid pretty much standard paving on two thirds of the alley on either side, a central strip of permeable pavers on the theoretical not drive surface?

>>JON: Correct .

>>ELIZABETH: Are we looking at March crop ? marked crosswalks where the alley crosses other roadways ?

>>JON: That was in the conceptual images and we will have some discussions during design, it will be up to the designers to present that to us and we can say yes, sir no, basically.

>>ELIZABETH: I guess that is what I am asking, in these designs that they're putting together theoretically there will be some creative elements related to the green alley concept?

>>JON: Within the confines of a million-dollar construction project, yes.

>>ELIZABETH: I guess what I was trying to get out from reading the various work listed in this proposals is to what extent they were just figuring they would put in a green alley and walk away from the project and to what extent these design boards included some other green elements of construction. So, we are not going to ? if we say that we want crosswalks across some of the thoroughfares between the college and market, they will not say oh that will cost you more money to design us?

>>JON: I cannot answer that , as far as a planned view I did not give you that. So, I cannot give you that off the top of my head.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay. I am just trying to make certain that these bids include all of the things that we may want, understanding that we have a million-dollar ceiling for what we spent on the actual construction. It was more money than we asked for when we got it. We asked for \$900,000 for a green alley way, on Willow Street and they gave us a million. So, theoretically

they may be able to do more than what we originally proposed. Probably not given that the cost of things rises. But, with that said I want to make certain that we are not going to then incur another \$40,000 in engineering these after-the-fact in project management fees because we are trying to ? because there are elements of the project that we did not include in the bid.

>>JON: I certainly hope not. I will definitely put not to exceed ? hopefully we do not get to the point.

>>ELIZABETH: Well, the not to exceed, it does not have any teeth if they come back and say well if you want this then we want more money. Then you go back to counsel and say we have to give these guys more money. You know? So, I guess ? I am looking for the specific things that we may request from them, lighting is extensive. We are probably knocking to go with lighting if it is not part of the original scope. But things like crosswalks are not very expensive. So, I guess if you could put some thought into whether or not that is included into any of the proposals that would be appreciated because that would dictate which proposal the administration would want to go with among your top four.

>>JON: Sure.

>>ELIZABETH: The one that seemed like it included more creative elements of it so that we were not going to pick X firm and then constantly run up against, we did not include that into our proposal and argue about extra money. Bonnie, Adam any extra thoughts?

>>ADAM: How much inputs are businesses along the street going to have whenever this goes into the design phase? Depending on that too, what kind of feedback are businesses going to be able to have on the design here? To get their buy-in or input here?

>>JON: In fact I have argument with a couple of them in regards to this project, not just once, but needs. That will be related to this. So, they will be going door-to-door and essentially knocking, ? we are going to fully reconstruct this road, full depth, what needs to have? Then we can help capture everyone's needs and one shot. And they have \$1 million to do that so ? public ordination is involved and I think it is one of the items ?.

>>ADAM: Good, that is important. They provide a lot for the cities, I want to make sure that we can incorporate what they need and help out as best we can.

>>JON: Absolutely, I have met with two of them down there already.

>>ADAM: Okay, perfect. Before they are to voice their concerns so I am happy you are doing a John.

>>ADAM: Just some procedural , there are several other questions Bonnie, sorry. Okay ? sorry (CHUCKLE) Liz, procedurally, this is just FYI for you however we deem we want to handle this, I did talk with Norm earlier in the year just to understand procedurally what we could and could not do in committee. We actually, from talking to him can table in committee, however, if we are uncomfortable doing that we can also make a motion to forward to the full body of counsel recommending to table it as well. So, we have a couple tabling options here if we deem that necessary.

>>ELIZABETH: I think the best course of action would be for the admin efficient to pull it. The only reason I think that is I think they are going to want to substitute a completely different resolution. And, if we table it then we have to take it off the table, we have to make a motion to amend. We have to substitute a different motion altogether.

>>ADAM: I got you.

>>ELIZABETH: So, I think that is helpful and in general I think that will be useful to us, but in this particular case I think the simplest thing would be for the ministration to pull it and bring something different back.

>>JON: Real quick here, essentially the proposal that says we are selecting blank firm in the amount of blank dollars. I am literally going to fill those in, I will fill in those two blanks and bring it back to you next week and we'll go from there, correct?

>>ELIZABETH: Let me look at the ? .

>>JON: The reason I did that , there was a specific reason. I did not want the public to know ahead of time who won the job ? like oh firm A wanted.

>>ELIZABETH: Well known technically knows until Council votes to approve ? you can bring it to us next week and it could say whatever name in there and it could be like wait a minute, we do not want that firm. I don't think that would happen (CHUCKLE) but , you see what I'm saying. Yes, we have kind of vibe notices through the choice of the ministration is until Council votes on the resolution. That choice is not official. We can always say we do not have the money, we don't think ? we could disagree with you. But, generally speaking especially with the process of you allowing us to provide all the proposals to us so we can review them ourselves and of course I trust in your spare opinion more than I trust in our own. I think that the net outcome is likely to be that we will approve the name that you bring forward. But, I am looking here ? you may want to run it past either Joe (NAME) and/or Janice. And I believe that the city would authorize the finance director to execute a contract and select a firm on behalf of the city of Williamsport not to execute a proposal, but I am not certain. Multicity city Council hereby approves the proposal ? .

>>JOSEPH: I can get draft language, that is not an issue.

>>ELIZABETH: Thanks Joe. But, other than that ? frankly I am excited that we got so many responses and I am intrigued to see the variation in the cost. Between the various responses, but my hope would be that some of the ones especially ?.

>>JON: Other than the one outlier, some of them ? most of them were somewhat close.

>>ELIZABETH: There is still a gap of \$50,000 between some of the firms that you liked , actually a gap of close to \$70,000 between some of the firms that you liked and some of the other firms that you like. Which is unusual.

>>JON: You would see tighter numbers if this were a very cut and dry construction bid , however it is design services. But, anyway in regards to finding distal let you know, we have the funding set aside for CDBG funding for next year. So, what I would like to do is that I have a lot of calls from these firms, what is going on and what are you doing , I would like to award to one of these firms now and have them start actually designing services next year. Is that reasonable?

>>ELIZABETH: I think that would be more than reasonable. Honesty, the only issue would be once again, I do not know what the timeline was, usually when we get bids they have a certain window of time of which the bids are good , often it is either three or six months but I'm not sure the length of time for these bits. But, we would need to ascertain with whatever our choice was that they were willing to honor the pricing if they were not going to start work until some point in 2021. I mean, as we know, I'm not sure and I think Skip, I am not sure if you still here, yes yes, as we know, the 2021 allocation for CDBG will not necessarily be available to spend January 1, in fact, I do not know ? we generally get the funding relate, am I right about that

Skip?

>>

Correct. It will be later in the year.

>>ELIZABETH: Do we have money that we can flex out of CDBG another year?

>>

I believe we can make it work.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay. But, you will want to coordinate Mr. Sander with Mr. (NAME) to make certain that the funding is available and when it will be available before we have a specific start time. But generally, as long as the contract is awarded within whatever window the proposal has, then it will not start for a little while and they will honor the price. So, my one other comment would be given that there is a \$70,000 spread between the lowest preferred bidder that you talk to us about and the highest preferred bidder is that I would want you to look amongst those proposals that you thought were the strongest and ascertain which one is giving us the best value.

>>JON: Got you.

>>ELIZABETH: Which one we are least likely to have to go back for add alternates and things like that to make it a go. Or, which one is going to streamline the process or whatever. That is more of a matter of your opinion than mine. So, Mr. (NAME), you're going to Mr. Sanders some pointers on how to put the resolution together . John, just be aware that I believe Janice I think you will need this in hand before Friday before noon?

>>JON: She will have it tomorrow.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay good. I know because of the weird timeline in December you're going to have to get it to her pretty quick. All right, and then if you can just check with the mayor and make sure he is okay pulling it from the agenda for this meeting then it will be back on next Thursday okay?

>>JON: Okay, thank you.

>>ELIZABETH: Thank you very much. Finance, we can forward it with a recommendation from the table if you would like and then if for some reason it does not get pulled, that will lead to it being tabled in front of counsel. Finance, do we want to do that?

>>ADAM: Yes, I am okay with that.

>>ELIZABETH: Do you want to make a motion for that?

>>ADAM: I want to make a recommendation in the full body of counsel to table. Before second. (l) (l) (l) .

>>ELIZABETH: Thank you John for doing that for us and Mr. Sanders for helping us with that later. The final item on our agenda today is any related items, does anybody have anything they would like to bring forward? All right, hearing nothing ? .

>>JON: Give me a second, I have something.

>>ELIZABETH: Okay (CHUCKLE) .

>>JON: The (NAME) scoreboard, we are really close on the cost estimate on that right now. We have a Of \$570,000 . We are dangerously close and kind of over on that, so my question is that if it comes in, we are looking to bid this out in the next couple of weeks ? we have \$570,000. If we get \$670,000, 100 over, where are we at? What we do?

>>ELIZABETH: I think that may actually be a better question to start with Mr. (NAME) on.

>>JON: I just figured ? .

>>ELIZABETH: Yes, everybody is here I know. It all depends on the amount of funding that we have left in building the field , but I know that \$570,000 was a cut off?

>>JON:

>>JOSEPH: Yes I was looking last night and my recommendation would be to carve out a couple of pieces as alternates.

>>JON: We have Aarti carved out everything bare-bones, anything additional is an add-on and any demolition we are looking to do, Adam I texted you a little bit about the earlier ? we are as bare-bones as it can get. The school board element , essentially the screen itself is as small as the cutters would like to go. It is not small small, but as small as we can. So, we are doing everything we can to cut this down as cheap as possible.

>>ELIZABETH: And it is still coming in at \$100,000 over?

>>JON: About \$50,000 over. \$620,000 Or somewhere in there. Just a whisker over. So, yeah. I just wanted to let you guys know that. The three I appreciate the forewarning .

>>ELIZABETH: I appreciate the forewarning , but I would say that we need to come in in budget.

>>JON: Well, they are not bids yet we are just looking at estimates. I'm just letting you know that it is dangerously close.

>>ELIZABETH: So, usually what we would do is put it up for bid and then hope the bids come back a little lower than we anticipated. But, there is not a whole lot we can do about that. Especially because it seems to me that in this particular field there are not a lot of companies that are likely to be bidding, correct?

>>JON: Correct.

>>ELIZABETH: I do not have a lot of guidance except that we need to have a conversation with Mr. (NAME) about whether there is any extra money that we can flex into it. But, that should probably happen off-line.

>>JON: Fantastic. B3 anything else?

>>ELIZABETH: Anything else? If not I'll take a motion to adjourn. Before second.

>>ADAM: Second. (I) (I) (I).

>>ELIZABETH: Well everybody have a good day and I will see you all tomorrow. Bye-bye. □R

## Chat